form the habit of rapidly communicating the concerns of customers to the designers and engineers who would produce the responses to the exigencies of a newly prosperous and demanding American middle class.

The interesting story at Cleveland is not just about how Toby Cosgrove succeeded in silo-busting; he was a visionary but not an unprecedented one. What we are really left wanting to know is why the health care industry took nearly a century to reach the insights that Sloan and others pioneered in manufacturing in the 1920s. (Sadly, the academic profession is even further behind.) More recently, health care has been extremely slow to incorporate advances in information technology that firms elsewhere took on board two or three decades ago. So silo-busting is taking place within health care while happening only slowly and painfully between health care and other parts of modern life.

No one can do everything, and it would be unfair to reproach Tett with performing her narrative task too well, but we should be wary of entirely endorsing her closing paean to “six principles of anthropology” as a solution to the silo effect. Anthropology can build silos as well as undermine them. The principles are in any case rather bland and unexceptionable (“organizations need to think about pay and incentives”, “information flows matter too”), and it is hard to imagine them really helping to discriminate between necessary and dangerous specialization. Gillian Tett’s explanatory talent is admirable, as is her optimistic belief that a grounding in anthropology can help us, as Matthew Arnold wrote of Sophocles, to “see life steadily and see it whole”. But the silo effect remains, for us as for the Buddha, far easier to diagnose in retrospect than to foresee or prevent.

Migrant death is the theme of our day, and 2015 was emblematic for the massive increase in children, women and men who had risked their lives in the hope of escaping conflict, destruction and persecution in their homelands. According to the International Organization for Migration, more than 750,000 migrants had arrived in Europe by November last year, but almost perished on their journey. These images of death have flooded our media, bringing an almost daily reminder of the catastrophe taking place on Europe’s southern shores.

These are all preventable deaths, loss of life that can be avoided. Migrant deaths do not come from car accidents or natural disasters; they happen because states and governments make laws regarding the movement of people between nations that consign certain groups to the category of illegal. This fact means that people are only entitled to their full human rights—starting with the right to health and to life—when they are in a country where they have a legal right to remain. In The Land of Open Graves, Jason De León builds on this, and argues for the existence of a binary division between humans and non-humans. He discusses how the policy of Prevention Through Deterrence (PTD) in the United States pushes “illegals” into the category of “aliens”, through the enforcement of a border policy that is “designed to hurt people”, a law which stipulates that, as migrants are forced to cross through increasingly hostile sections of desert, “violence will increase as effects of strategy are felt”. An increase in deaths effectively becomes an indicator of the policy’s success.

De León draws out a number of nuanced concepts and ideas relating to context and policy at the US–Mexican border. These provide the structure for the various forms of anthropological evidence discussed in The Land of Open Graves. His analysis pushes our understanding of how lives are lived and lost on the US–Mexican border to a new level. De León uses Giorgio Agamben’s concept of a state of exception to define how the border zone has become “a physical and political location where an individual’s rights and protections under law can be suspended”. This is a space where exceptional things happen: people disappear forever as their bodies are consumed by wild animals and weather; other bodies are recovered and never identified, listed only as “age unknown, country of origin unknown, cause of death undetermined (partial skeletal remains)”. To this space of exception, the hostile Sonoran desert that migrants cross to reach the US, he gives the name hybrid collectif. This is a space composed of various agents or actants, needed to survive several days in the desert.

It is not illegal to leave Mexico via the desert, and reaching “the line”, often marked only with a barbed wire fence or gate, is relatively unchallenging. It is the hike lasting several days through the hybrid collectif on the US side of the border in order to reach civilization and travel onwards, that costs hundreds of migrants their lives every year. Border-crossers inevitably leave Mexico poorly prepared. It is impossible to carry all the water they will need for the trip, and migrants take no map or compass since, if they are caught by border control with these items, they are labelled smugglers and face “real jail time”. Small details such as these increase the lethality of the PTD policy. The natural environment that poses such a massive risk to human lives has become a “cover for structural violence”. In the three chapters that make up “El Camino”, the reader is transported into this place where preventing illegal immigration into the US is “outsourced to animals, nature and technology”. Sub-zero temperatures and searing heat with no shade, rattle-snakes, wild bear and vultures, unrelenting mountainous terrain, risk of apprehension by border patrol, the absolute lack of drinking water sources and the near-inevitability of losing one’s way, all combine to ensure that only a small proportion of would-be “illegals” actually make it to their destination. Like Memo and Lacho, who finally make it on their sixth attempt, 92–98 percent will try again and again until they succeed—or die.

In the final part, “Perilous Terrain”, we learn about the lives and families of Maricela and José, Ecuadorian migrants who are among the thousands of border-crossers who have died in their attempt to reach the US. These final chapters make uncomfortable reading, as we know from the outset that the migrants’ journeys will end in death, yet De León somehow manages to compose the facts and interview data so that the reader hopes for a different ending. The Land of Open Graves is illustrated throughout by the photographer Michael Wells, and this section includes a shocking image of Maricela as she was found by the author and his team from the Undocumented Migration Project. “Her position lying face down, exposed on the side of a steep hill, suggests that her last movements were a painful crawl. She collapsed mid hike. To be left on the trail like this likely means that she died alone out here.”

De León only makes a very brief mention of the fact that Mexico–US border crossings are now actually at one of their lowest historical levels. He is at pains to point out that Prevention Through Deterrence will not succeed in removing the motivation for risking one’s life in the hope of achieving a dream, yet recent reports suggest that this is exactly what is happening. The principal deterrent may not be the hybrid collectif, however, but the alarming and lethal threat of criminal violence. Along the 2,000-mile border, drug-trafficking is entwined with people-smuggling, with police, government officials and even bus drivers taking part. According to press reports, rival factions of the Sinaloa drug cartel are fighting for “control” of the people-smuggling business. In the Mexican border town of Altar, the fact that the Mafias has become too greedy, charging extortionate fees, using too much violence and producing too many migrant deaths is discussed openly. This other form of structural violence is indeed acting as a deterrent to those even attempting border crossings.

The Land of Open Graves is hard to put down. Its violent and vivid content draws you into a reality that we should all know about, and the author’s interpretation provides a political and theoretical perspective that challenges conventional beliefs about undocumented migration.